

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tratemark office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR EATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRM тиои ио. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 07/16/2003 Peter P. Gale PRE078-210308 10/620,530 EXAMINER 06/17/2004 7590 DEVORE, PETER T Richard P. Gilly Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 22nd Floor 3751

1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_		
_		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	- 10
		10/620,530	)	GALE ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Peter T de\	/ore	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status		•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	This action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-12 and 21-29 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 13,16,17,30 and 34-37 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 14,15,18-20,31-33,38 and 39 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) 🔲 Notio 3) 🔯 Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>07162003</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	ate	 -152)

Application/Control Number: 10/620,530

Art Unit: 3751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gram.

The Gram reference discloses the claimed method at column 2, line 58-column 3, line 28.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13, 16, 17, and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leonard in view of Frye.

The Leonard reference discloses a portable liquid oxygen system comprising an insulated container 11 and a delivery/fill system 62/63 including sleeve 55, but does not disclose a conserver. However, attention is directed to the Frye reference, which discloses a similar system including a conserver 42 to ensure that oxygen at the proper

Art Unit: 3751

pressure of oxygen flows to the patient. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a conserver with the Leonard system in view of the teachings of Frye to ensure that oxygen at the proper pressure flows to the patient. Regarding claims 34-37, the claimed methods are inherently performed during the normal use of the modified Leonard device.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-12, and 21-29 are allowed.

Claims 14, 15, 18-20, 31-33, 38, and 39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter T deVore whose telephone number is (703) 306-5481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pd

GREGORY L. HUSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700